

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/760,591	01/21/2004	Donald S. Gardner	INTEL-0063	1111
34610	7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
P.O. BOX 221	1200			
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$-H \cdot B$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, .			
Office Action Summary		10/760,591	GARDNER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	_			
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci of solid (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONThe statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 April 2005.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-29</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bosee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachmei	• •	. □	(DTO 446)				
1) 🔼 Noti 2) 🗌 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	4) ☐ Interview Su 8) — Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/28/04</u> .	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I, embodiment 2 [figure 4-5], claims 1-8 and 30 in the reply filed on 04/28/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-30 should be examined in a single application. This is not found persuasive because a chip with memory device, a computer system and/or a method of forming a transformer is/are require search in other area(s).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Handa [JP 03-212913].

Handa discloses an induction device [figure 1] comprising:

- a plurality of metal lines [13] formed on a printed circuit board;
- a magnetic material [10] provided about the plurality of metal lines, the magnetic material including a structure to reduce Eddy currents flowing in the magnetic material, wherein

the structure comprises a plurality of slots/cuts [14] in the magnetic material and extend substantially perpendicular to the plurality of metal lines.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handa in view of Okamura et al. [US 5,138,393].

Handa discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific of the magnetic structure.

Okamura et al. discloses a magnetic core comprising a laminated magnetic structure. including a plurality of thin cobalt amorphous alloy layers laminate with an insulation layer and oxide material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the magnetic core design of Okamura et al. in Handa for the purpose of improving magnetic characteristics.

The specific use of oxide material would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of controlling the magnetic saturation.

Regarding claim 30, it would have been an obvious design consideration to provide the transformer of Handa on a die for the purpose of providing power.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,591

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TTN

Tuyen T. Nguyen

Page 4